



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/864,982	05/24/2001	Branimir Konstantinov Boguraev	YOR920010111US1	YOR920010111US1 2635		
7590 11/24/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 1300 Post Road, Suite 205 Fairfield, CT 06430			OPSASNICK,	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2655	2655		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/864,9	09/864,982		BOGURAEV ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			N. Opsasnick	2655				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNING THIS COMMUNITY OF PRICE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS COM	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and very will by statute. cause the apply and the control of	vent, however, may a reply be tin stutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timel the mailing date of this cool. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>24 May 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u>								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1€32 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-32 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmei	nt(s)							
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2655

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
  - a. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As per claim 13, the recited limitations pertaining to the detailed process of finding a limited number of salient terms is not explicitly taught by the prior art of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-6,10-12,15,16,18-22,24,25,27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker et al (5680511).

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 1,5,15,19, 24 and 28, <u>Baker et al (5680511)</u> teaches a method for processing text after imperfect speech recognition (abstract) comprising:

"converting a speech document to text" (col. 8 line 59 – col. 9 line 9);

"processing.....salient terms" as picking out unrecognized words (col. 9 lines 4-9);

"displaying the text.....terms" as displaying the choice words to be analyzed (col. 10, lines 27-30).

As per claims 2,5,6,16,19,25 and 28, Baker et al (5680511) teaches:

"increasing.....text" as word could include punctuation or sentence structure (col. 10 lines 51-58);

"removing non-word...text" as using embedded characters for determining function, but not translation (col. 10 lines 49-60);

"determining high selectivity....text" as analyzing text according to a weighted frequency (col. 16 line 65 – col. 17 line 10; col. 5 lines 44-49);

"selecting terms.....selectivity" as choosing the terms according to a frequency threshold (col. 17 lines 1-6).

As per claims 4,18, and 27, Baker et al (5680511) teaches:

"creating....own speech" as performing speech recognition in an alternative typed document (col. 8 line 65 – col. 9 line 3); wherein the dictionary used is independent of the user (col. 15 lines 32-40,col. 15 line 50 – col. 16 line 17).

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 10,20, and 29, <u>Baker et al (5680511)</u> teaches determining selectivity above a threshold (col. 16 line 65 – col. 17 line 10; col. 5 lines 44-49; col. 17 lines 1-6).

As per claims 11,21, and 30, <u>Baker et al (5680511)</u> teaches removing terms by not choosing or throwing the possible terms that do not apply (col. 13 lines 20-45).

As per claims 12,22, and 31, Baker et al (5680511) teaches:

"wherein the step of determining.....threshold" as selecting according to weighted frequency compared to a threshold (col. 16 line 65 – col. 17 line 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3,7-9,14,17,23,26,32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al (5680511) in view of Mitchell et al (5799273).

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 3,14,17,23,26, and 32, Baker et al (5680511) does not explicitly teach cursor positioning based on distance from salient terms, and replaying the document, however, Mitchell et al (5799273) teaches highlighting the word (Fig. 8a, subblock S51, fig. 8b, subblock S73), replacing the word, inserting the tag, and playback the audio to that point (Fig. 8a, subblocks S56-S57, and Fig. 14b). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech/word recognition to modify the Baker reference with salient word highlighting and replaying of the audio because it would advantageously allow the user to determine if the word needed correcting or if the correction was accurate (col. 10 lines 29-40).

As per claims 7-9, the combination of <u>Baker et al (5680511)</u> in view of <u>Mitchell et al (5799273)</u> teaches displaying/highlighting the word (Fig. 8A, subblock S51, showing words that need to be corrected -- Fig. 14a,either non-recognized or incorrect), in a reverse video mode (<u>Mitchell et al (5799273)</u>,col. 9 lines 1-10); examiner notes that Mitchell teaches the idea of changing the presentation of the salient terms, and that choosing different fonts would be an obvious design choice.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2655

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 11/18/04

> SUSAN MOTADOEN PRIMARY EXAMILE